Presentation structure

The Role of the World Bank PSDG on Remittances

The BIS-WB General Principles for International Remittance Services

GPs Assessments of Remittance Markets in Tanzania and Malawi

Send Money Africa: making remittances to Africa more transparent

The Role of the World Bank PSDG on Remittances

Payment Systems Development Group: Delivery Model

The Payment System Development Group is at the center of an international network, whose main objective is supporting countries to reform Payments, Remittances, and SSS (over 120 countries in 14 years, 68 active!!!)



G-10 and non G-10 Central Banks and Securities Commissions

PSDG Remittance Methodology

WB-BIS General Principles for International Remittance Services

- 1. Assessment of and recommendations for concrete steps to improve national remittance market.
 - 2. Technical Assistance for the implementation of the recommendations.

Data Capture

Legal Framework

Technical Infrastructure

Financial Access

Market Structure

Consumer Protection

Oversight

3. Monitoring of remittance prices following the reforms.

General Principles for International Remittance Services - A Multilateral Effort to Address a Global Challenge

Lower costs, better performance, safer transfers

Single country implementation with authorities and stakeholders

Regional initiatives with regional partners
Global Private-Public Sector Partnership

Coordination group to develop implementation guidelines and monitor implementation

Development of the General Principles

Request from the International Community

Assessments:

El Salvador (September 2006)

Morocco (November 2006)

Honduras (April 2007)

Haiti (September 2007)

Nigeria (February, 2008)

Uganda (April 2008)

Guatemala (April 2008)

Czech Republic (May 2008)

U.A.E (January 2009)

Brazil (April 2009)

T&T(October 2009)

Peru (October 2009)

Sri Lanka (May 2010)

Rwanda (November 2010)

Panama (December 2010)

Paraguay (2011)

Costa Rica (2011)

Bangladesh (2011)

Tanzania (April 2011)

Malawi (May 2011)

Nicaragua (June 2011)

Ongoing Initiatives

Global Remittances Working Group: Continue work to reduce remittance costs in accordance with 5x5 objective

G20 DWG: Lead the G20 action on international remittances and provide guidance for countries and International Organizations for the implementation of the General Principles

African Institute for Remittances: Support African Union in the establishment of the ?

K ?

H 0. //

Rome Laboratory: Help countries to establish standards and the regulatory framework for new remittance services such as mobile payments

Remittance Prices Worldwide Database: Keep monitoring prices of remittance services and ensure that the high cost of remittances stays on the policy agenda

CEMLA: Technical support for countries in Latin America for the CEMLA Remittance Initiative

,

The BIS-WB General Principles for International Remittance Services

An international remittance is a cross-border, person-to-person payment of relatively low value

Typically by migrant workers to their families. Especially from developed to developing countries

Person-to person, low value - ie not commercial or wholesale payments

Domestic remittances also exist

Recurrent - but typically made by individual transfers (eg not by standing order)

For remittance service providers (RSPs), often indistinguishable from any other retail cross-border transfers

World Bank CPSS General Principles for International Remittances Services

- GP1: The market for remittances should be transparent and have adequate consumer protection
- **GP2:** Improvements to payment system infrastructure that have the potential to increase the efficiency of remittance services should be encouraged
- **GP3:** Remittance services should be supported by a sound, predictable, non-discriminatory and proportionate legal and regulatory framework
- **GP4:** Competitive market conditions, including appropriate access to domestic payments infrastructures, should be fostered in the remittance service industry
- **GP5:** Remittance services should be supported by appropriate governance and risk management practices

Remittance Service Providers

Premise is that best way to reduce cost is to have competition as far as possible

The principles are not a call for remittances to be regulated. Sometimes it may be more important to remove existing regulation

They do not aim to set specific service levels. Low price may often be more important than high level of service

Purpose is to tackle weaknesses in the market that inhibit competition (including poor regulation)

financial services

A good remittance product improves value to the user in the short term and access to other financial products in the long term

There are no standard solutions

GP1: The market for remittances should be transparent and have adequate consumer protection

Transparency means information about the service (price, speed, FX charge, etc). Transparency promotes competition and should drive down prices

Especially important for remittances:

7

Complex to work out price

What is appropriate consumer protection? Most important are &P N schemes). Beware of the cost of some possibilities!

GP2: Improvements to payment system infrastructure that have the potential to increase the efficiency of remittance services should be encouraged

Domestic payment infrastructure

Remittance services usually depend to some extent on this. But the infrastructure may not always be very efficient, especially in receiving countries

Cross-border payment infrastructure

Greater standardisation to help STP in correspondent banking?

Direct links between domestic systems as an alternative to correspondent banking?

Linkages of different networks?

GP3: Remittance services should be supported by a sound, predictable, non-discriminatory and proportionate legal and regulatory framework

Does not mean special laws/regulations for remittances

Sound, predictable, non-

? -regulation. What is the problem regulation is meant to cure? Is regulation the best way to cure it?

For key corridors, sending and receiving countries may want to cooperate if there seem to be legal obstacles GP4: Competitive market conditions, including appropriate access to domestic payments infrastructures, should be fostered in the remittance service industry

Importance of contestability and removing barriers to entry

Avoid exclusivity conditions (as opposed to an agent choosing to offer only one remittance service)

Are there problems with direct or indirect access to domestic payment systems?

GP5: Remittance services should be supported by appropriate governance and risk management practices

RSPs face financial risk (eg if liquidity is supplied to disbursing agents), legal risk, operational risk, risk of fraud, reputational risk

Good governance and risk management practices by RSPs make

measures should be proportionate to the risks

Many people may need to take action. But Remittance Service Providers and the authorities have particularly important roles:

Remittance

The General Principles have been formally endorsed by the G-8, G-20 and the Financial Stability Forum

Both Sending and Receiving Countries have been urged to adopt them!!!

The Assessments of the Remittance Markets in Tanzania and Malawi

How are the assessments performed

A preliminary research on available

remittances market

A mission, generally one-week long

The mission team is composed by remittance experts or consultants of the PSDG

The mission team meets

Central Bank

Other local authorities including Ministries, Consumer Protection Agencies etc

Commercial Banks, especially if targeting remittances market

Post Office

Money Transfer Operators

Micro Finance Institutions

Mobile Networks Operators

Other Stakeholders



At the end of the mission, **preliminary findings** are presented to the authorities

Within a few weeks, a full assessment report is delivered to the authorities

Tanzania market overview /1

Data on remittance flows to and from Tanzania are scarce and inconsistent

Source	Inflows, 2009 (USD million)	Outflows, 2009 (USD million)
Bank of Tanzania (DERP)	16	54
Bank of Tanzania (DNPS)	332	138
African Development Bank	392	-
If Tanzanian migrants remit an average of USD150	570	-

Tanzania is **most likely a net remittance receiving** country

There is a lack of information on the characteristics of these flows, in particular actual volumes and values of transactions, regional distribution, relevance of the non regulated market

WB and IOM estimate that **317 thousands** Tanzanians live abroad and **659 thousands** foreigners reside in Tanzania

Main destination countries are **Kenya**, **Uganda**, **UK**, Canada, Mozambique Top source countries are **Burundi**, **Mozambique**, **Kenya**, the Republic of Congo, Rwanda

Tanzania market overview /2

Remittance transfers

Remittances transferred from and to Tanzania are generally between USD 200 and 500 per each transaction

According to the World Bank Remittance Prices Worldwide database, the average total cost of sending USD 200 from Tanzania to East African countries is **23.6%**, the highest in the world

However, most transactions are performed through MTOs at an approximate total cost **between 9% and 13%**

The great majority of remittances are collected and disbursed in cash

REPORT DELIVERED!

Malawi market overview /1

There are no reliable and precise data on remittance flows to and from Malawi; most part of the flows are not reported in any official statistic

WB estimate that **213 thousands** Malawians live abroad (UK, US, South Africa) and **276 thousands** foreigners reside in Malawi (Mozambique, Zambia, Zimbabwe); however, a large number of migrants might be unrecorded

Some very approximate estimate on remittance flows can be attempted; If Malawians residing abroad sent home an average of USD 150 per month, the inflow would amount to about USD 32 million; other evidence suggest that the inflows could be around **USD 45 million per year**

Malawi is a net **remittance receiving country**. All indicators confirm that most transactions are inward; however, due to limits in sending money through MTOs, it is also very likely that most outward low value transactions are channelled illegally or through non-regulated providers

There is a lack of information on the characteristics of these flows, in particular actual volumes and values of transactions, regional distribution, relevance of the non regulated market

Remittance transfers

Due to currency control, the maximum amount that can be sent through MTOs is USD 100. Higher amounts can be sent through banks (typically between USD 500 and 1000, often for education fees). There is no limit for inward transactions. Remittance transfers to Malawi are genften1wlly amoud5(ts2)-25(USD24(10)ns.)]To

Confidential Findings on the Tanzanian Remittances Market

The market for remittances in Tanzania is dominated by RSP holds the soft the market.

The team recommends that the Bank of Tanzania in the medium term in order to some standard of the market.

The Tanzania Payment System Law should include a provision on Commercial Banks in Tanzania in the market.

Confidentiality matters!

The PSDG highly values confidentiality as an important instrument to build trust and obtain full and reliable information from both the national authorities and the market players.

The Report is delivered to the local authorities and they are the only one to decide which information can be disclosed, if any.

National and Regional WB-certified databases

Increasing the relevance and number of national databases on the cost of remittances because:

They serve the ultimate beneficiaries of the remittances: the migrants

They can cover more frequently the changes of the market

They can serve as a benchmark for policy makers and the industry

Send Money Africa

Send Money Africa /1

Potentially a reference point for African migrants to make informed decisions on the service they want to use to send money home

A useful tool to identify critical areas of policy and business interventions and to increase competition and transparency in the market

50 country pairs, from Europe, North America and the Middle East to Africa, as well as intra-regional corridors

Two amounts collected USD 200 and 500

Information on product types, transfer speed, network coverage and pick-up location in receiving country, fees, exchange rates applied, total cost, amount received in local currency, and more

Mystery shopping techniques to ensure that information is collected from RSPs as if it was given to remitters

Send Money Africa /2

Sending Countries	Receiving Countries	
Belgium	D.R. of Congo, Morocco	
Canada	Ghana, Kenya, Zimbabwe,	
France	Algeria, Morocco, Ivory Coast, Mali, Senegal, Tunisia	
Germany	Ghana, Morocco, Nigeria	
Senegal	Mali	
Italy	Ethiopia, Morocco, Nigeria, Senegal	
Kenya	Uganda, Rwanda	
Netherlands	Ghana, Morocco, Nigeria	
South Africa	Angola, Botswana, Lesotho, Malawi, Mozambique, Swaziland, Zambia, Zimbabwe	
Spain	Morocco	
Saudi Arabia	Egypt	
Tanzania	Kenya	
UAE	Egypt	
UK	Ghana, Kenya, Nigeria, Sierra Leone, Somalia, South Africa, Tanzania, Zimbabwe	
USA	Cape Verde, Ethiopia, Ghana, Nigeria,	

Send Money Africawill be launched on July 22

Help us spread the word!!!

http://sendmoneyafrica.worldbank.org

THANKS!

Marco Nicolì Remittance Analyst Payment Systems Development Group The World Bank

mnicoli1@worldbank.org

www.worldbank.org/paymentsystems

http://remittanceprices.worldbank.org

http://sendmoneyafrica.worldbank.org (coming soon, July 2011)